	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	09/534,898	ARSENAULT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael W. Hoye	2614
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	ears on the cover sheet with (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication is su	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. X This communication is responsive to Amendment filed on	<u>1/5/05</u> .	
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-23</u> .		
3. $\boxtimes$ The drawings filed on <u>24 March 2000</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.	
<ul> <li>4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Application	n No
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.	of this communication to file and this application.	a reply complying with the requirements
5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	itted. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath or	MINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF declaration is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.	
(a) $\square$ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached		
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 📗 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner' Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or	in the Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the header according to 37 CFF	e drawings in the front (not the back) of R 1.121(d).
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT	sit of BIOLOGICAL MATE FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO	RIAL must be submitted. Note the LOGICAL MATERIAL.
Attachment(s)		·
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Infe	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. $\square$ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413), Mail Date
3. A Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 10/15/04, 4/29/05		Amendment/Comment
4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛭 Examiner's S	Statement of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. ⊠ Other <u>IDS P</u>	<u>TO-1449 5/2/05</u> .
· ·		
•		

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments, see pages 6-8 of the Remarks section of the Amendment, filed on January 5, 2005, with respect to claims 1-23, more specifically, independent claims 1, 11 and 16, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-23 has been withdrawn.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-23 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As for independent claims 1, 11 and 16, the prior art, alone or in combination, does not teach or fairly suggest a method of transmitting, receiving, processing and storing, "cache program data... and a boot object having location information associated with the cache program data and requiring storage of the cache program data... [and] storing the cache program data based on location information stored in the boot object."

As for the most pertinent prior art of record, the Payton (USPN 5,790,935) reference discloses a method and system for delivering virtual on-demand programming to a television user. Payton discloses that the central distribution server 24 in Fig. 2 (col. 4, lines 55-64) transmits program data through the central transmitter 48 to the local receivers 52 (col. 5, lines 55-67), and recommended programs may be transmitted to and stored at the local server 28 or receiver (col. 6, lines 1-19). However, the Payton reference does not explicitly disclose the claimed limitations of, transmitting, receiving, processing and storing, "cache program data...

Application/Control Number: 09/534,898

Art Unit: 2614

and a boot object having location information associated with the cache program data and requiring storage of the cache program data... [and] storing the cache program data based on location information stored in the boot object."

Another reference, Chaney et al (USPN 5,642,153), discloses the transmission of a set of data known as a Master Program Guide, which relates to television program titles, their start times and end times, a virtual channel number to be displayed to a user, and information allocating virtual channels to transponder frequencies. In addition to, Chaney et al teaches a system that is capable of transmitting hundreds of programs with a number of services, which may include video signals, audio signals, closed caption signals, or other data, including executable computer programs for an appropriate receiver, where each service of each program is identified by a unique Service Component Identifier (SCID), and the information for the respective services is transmitted in packets of predetermined amounts of data and each packet includes a SCID corresponding to the service (see col. 4, lines 9-19). However, the Chaney et al reference does not explicitly disclose the claimed limitations of, transmitting, receiving, processing and storing, "cache program data... and a boot object having location information associated with the cache program data and requiring storage of the cache program data... [and] storing the cache program data based on location information stored in the boot object."

In the Applicant's invention the features as described above are specifically disclosed in the limitations of claims 1, 11 and 16.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

Art Unit: 2614

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael W. Hoye whose telephone number is (571) 272-7346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller, can be reached at (571) 272-7353.

## Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Please address mail to be delivered by the United States Postal Service (USPS) as follows:

Mail Stop \_\_\_\_\_ Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Effective January 14, 2005, except correspondence for Maintenance Fee payments, Deposit Account Replenishments (see 1.25(c)(4)), and Licensing and Review (see 37 CFR 5.1(c) and 5.2(c)), please address correspondence to be delivered by other delivery services (Federal Express (Fed Ex), UPS, DHL, Laser, Action, Purolater, etc.) as follows:

United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Some correspondence may be submitted electronically. See the Office's Internet Web site http://www.uspto.gov for additional information.

Application/Control Number: 09/534,898

Or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Art Unit: 2614

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Knox Building 501 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Michael W. Hoye May 24, 2005

> JOHN MILLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Page 5